1	BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
2	RICHARD J. POCKER (NV Bar No. 3568) 300 South Fourth Street, Suite 800	BENJAMIN P. SMITH (pro hac vice) JOHN A. POLITO (pro hac vice)
3	Las Vegas, NV 89101	SHARON R. SMITH (pro hac vice)
	Telephone: 702.382.7300 Facsimile: 702.382.2755	One Market, Spear Street Tower San Francisco, CA 94105
4	rpocker@bsfllp.com	Telephone: 415.442.1000
5		Facsimile: 415.442.1001
	PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP	benjamin.smith@morganlewis.com john.polito@morganlewis.com
6	WILLIAM A. ISAACSON (pro hac vice)	sharon.smith@morganlewis.com
7	KAREN DUNN (pro hac vice)	DODIAND ALEXY (
8	2001 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006	DORIAN DALEY (pro hac vice) DEBORAH K. MILLER (pro hac vice)
	Telephone: 202.223.7300	JAMES C. MAROULIS (pro hac vice)
9	Facsimile: 202.223.7420	ORACLE CORPORATION
10	wisaacson@paulweiss.com	500 Oracle Parkway, M/S 5op7
	kdunn@paulweiss.com	Redwood City, CA 94070 Telephone: 650.506.4846
11	BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP	Facsimile: 650.506.7114
12	BEKO REBLITZ-RICHARDSON (pro hac	dorian.daley@oracle.com
13	vice) SEAN P. RODRIGUEZ (pro hac vice)	deborah.miller@oracle.com jim.maroulis@oracle.com
13	44 Montgomery Street, 41st Floor	Jim.marouns@oracle.com
14	San Francisco, CA 94104	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc.,
15	Telephone: 415.293.6800 Facsimile: 415.293.6899	Oracle America, Inc., and Oracle International Corp.
	brichardson@bsfllp.com	International Corp.
16	srodriguez@bsfllp.com	
17	UNITED STATES DI	STRICT COURT
18	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
19		
20	ORACLE USA, INC.; a Colorado corporation;	Case No. 2:10-cv-0106-LRH-VCF
20	ORACLE AMERICA, INC.; a Delaware corporation; and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL	ORACLE'S MOTION TO SEAL
21	CORPORATION, a California corporation,	ORACLE'S RESPONSES AND
22	Plaintiffs,	OBJECTIONS TO RIMINI'S NOTICE OF DEMONSTRATIVES
23	v.	
	RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation;	
24	and SETH RAVIN, an individual,	
25	Defendants.	
26		
27		
28		

NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION

Pursuant to the Stipulated Protective Order governing confidentiality of documents entered by the Court on May 21, 2010, ECF No. 55 ("Protective Order"), Local Rules 10-5(b), Rules 5.2 and 26(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle America, Inc., and Oracle International Corporation (collectively "Oracle") respectfully request that the Court order the Clerk of the Court to file under seal portions of Oracle's Responses and Objections to Rimini's Notice of Demonstratives.

A public, redacted version of this document was filed on September 4, 2020. ECF No. 1426. An unredacted version of this document will be subsequently filed under seal with the Court and linked to this motion.

Oracle's Motion to Seal is based on this Notice of Motion, the accompanying Memorandum of Points and Authorities, documents incorporated by reference, and the entire record in this action.

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. LEGAL STANDARD

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c) provides broad discretion for a trial court to permit sealing of court documents for, *inter alia*, the protection of "a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information." Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c). "[G]ood cause' suffices to warrant preserving the secrecy of sealed discovery material attached to nondispositive motions." *Foltz v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co.*, 331 F.3d 1122, 1135 (9th Cir. 2003).

II. ARGUMENT

Oracle requests that this Court seal portions of Oracle's Responses and Objections to Rimini's Notice of Demonstratives because they reference documents or testimony that Rimini has designated as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only" under the Protective Order, or reflect information drawn from data sources that Rimini has designated as "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only." Oracle submits these documents under seal pursuant to the Protective Order based on Rimini's representation that it reasonably believes there

is a valid basis under the Protective Order for its confidentiality designations. Because these materials were designated by Rimini, Oracle is not in a position to provide further justification for why filing the documents publicly would cause Rimini harm sufficient to justify sealing. Oracle has submitted all other portions of its Responses and Objections to Rimini's Notice of Demonstratives to the Court's public files, which allows public access to all materials except for the portions discussed above. Accordingly, Oracle's request to seal is narrowly tailored. III. **CONCLUSION** For the foregoing reasons, Oracle respectfully requests that the Court grant leave to file under seal the materials discussed above. MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP DATED: September 4, 2020 /s/ John A. Polito Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle America, Inc. and Oracle International Corporation

- 1		
1	[PROPOSED] ORDER	
2	Pending before this Court is Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle America, Inc., and Oracle	
3	International Corporation's (collectively "Oracle") Motion to Seal Oracle's Responses and	
4	Objections to Rimini's Notice of Demonstratives. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c) provides	
5	broad discretion for a trial court to permit sealing of court documents for, inter alia, the	
6	protection of "a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial	
7	information." Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c). Having considered Oracle's Motion to Seal and for good	
8	cause existing:	
9	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT Oracle's Motion to Seal is GRANTED. The Clerk of	
10	the Court shall file under seal portions of Oracle's Responses and Objections to Rimini's Notice	
11	of Demonstratives.	
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
13		
14		
15	By:	
16	Hon. Cam Ferenbach	
17	United States Magistrate Judge	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	1	
	PROPOSED ORDER	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that on the 4th day of September, 2020, I electronically transmitted the foregoing ORACLE'S MOTION TO SEAL ORACLE'S RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO RIMINI'S NOTICE OF DEMONSTRATIVES and [PROPOSED] ORDER to the Clerk's Office using the CM/ECF System for filing and transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing to all counsel in this matter; all counsel being registered to receive Electronic Filing. MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP DATED: September 4, 2020 By: /s/ John A. Polito Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle America, Inc. and Oracle International Corporation